

DRK TIMES, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 11, 1966.

ice by President, McNamara and Gen. Wheeler



Associated Press Wirephoto

4. **TS OF ELECTION:** President Johnson at his news conference he was not altogether unhappy with election's outcome.

months of August of between 37,000 presents, therefore, t.

Look for the draft months, which is our to call in about the months of February and March, give a call of 12,000, we just announced ad for the remaining we not yet estab- lification by month.

add to December mount sufficient to ith total to about compares with the months of August, and November, of y substantial reduc-

Capacity
just one more ques- on, the ballistic icely.

Language, does this sians now have the low American bal- do not?

There is absolutely our capability of iet defenses with and aircraft. There n about that at any 15 years and there

an Army outfit managed to defeat and seriously damage a North Vietnamese force.

Successful Operations

Today, the Operation Attleboro, in Tainan Province, near the Cambodian border northwest of Saigon, General Bill Depew and troops of the First Infantry Division, the First Calvary Division and the 25th Infantry Division have been engaging in very successful operations against the North Vietnamese troops.

I was able to report to the President that the war in my judgment continues in a very favorable fashion. General Westmoreland retains the initiative and in every operation to date, he has managed to defeat the enemy. I also commented on the very satisfactory logistic build-up which we checked into during our visit to Vietnam. I believe that the logisticians, the engineers, the ordnance types, the quartermasters, and so on, are perhaps the unsung heroes of this particular war.

I would recommend to any of you who would like to check my words to visit Cameron Bay, among other places. In brief, then, I was able to report to the President my satisfaction from a military point of view as to the progress of the war. I also told him something that he, of course, saw for himself during his visit to South Vietnam, and that is the outstanding morale, the outstanding dedication and determination

House somewhat exceeded what our better people who had reports on it would indicate, although I was clear to point out that they received the reports from the candidates themselves.

The margin was some five to 10 more than the total amount that we had anticipated, as I told you in a press conference the other day and as I told you at Johnson City.

A 2-Party System

There are some things that I think we ought to observe. First, as a good American, I think we are all glad to see a healthy and competent existence of the two-party system. I think there is no question but what the other party strengthened its position.

Second, I believe, as the leadership of the Republican party, President Eisenhower, Senator Dirksen and others believe, that it will not in any way change our course of action in connection with security matters.

I have had rather good cooperation from the opposition party, as I have stated on a good many occasions on all matters affecting the security of this nation, and I expect to continue to have that.

Third, while you regret to see some effective Congressmen—and certainly Senator Douglas, an effective Senator—leave Washington, not many Presidents have been President for very long with 248, 249 or 250 members of the House and 64 members of the Senate.

As I said to Mrs. Johnson last night, it just looks like we will have to get by with a 248 margin, which will be some 63 margin, and in the Senate almost two to one.

I hope what we propose will be sufficiently meritorious to command a majority vote.

Recalls Senate Days

The most effective Democratic operation that I experienced in the days I served there was when we only had a one-man margin in the Senate. There were less absentes and more people there.

Of course, anyone would rather have 67 on his side than 64. But I would rather have 64 than 36. I would rather have 248 than 145.

I would be less than frank if I didn't tell you that I am sorry we lost any Democratic seats. But I would also tell you that over a period of years the American people have a way, I guess, of balancing things.

When the pendulum swings one way, as it did in 1964 pretty strongly, it has a tendency to swing back and somewhat balance it, as it did in 1936 when we had an unprecedented majority of Democrats, and in 1938 it swung back.

So while we were disappointed to see some of our friends lose, I can't think a President should be too unhappy after he had the results that we have had in 1963, 1964, 1965 and 1966. We have had a reasonably good time to make our recommendations and to get most of them acted upon.

It may be pertinent to observe that when I became President in 1963 we had about 256 members of the House. We will probably have five, six or seven less now. We had a reasonably good program, as you will remember, in 1963 and 1964.

I would expect that we will have our recommendations favorably acted upon in most instances, where they are being served.

I would like to point out one other

In our state we lost two, in Virginia we lost two, in Wisconsin we lost two.

But as I have observed to you before, The Christian Science Monitor made a study of this question.

Saville Davis came to my office and brought me the results of some of his studies. He carried them back to 1840. They showed an average of 41 per year. Some of the high years were with President Roosevelt, when he carried every state of the union, except Maine and Vermont, in 1936. I believe he lost 86 votes in 1938. That was about the time I came into Congress. I remember that very clearly.

On other occasions they have posted 60. President Eisenhower served only two years before he lost the Congress entirely.

As a matter of fact, a fellow working for me the other morning, after listening the night before, thought we had lost the Congress entirely. As a matter of fact, he thought all Democrats were gone.

I asked him what he thought about the election, and he said, "I am sure sorry to see them take the House of Representatives and the Senate."

Well, we still have 248 or 250 members. That is something to bear in mind. They may have to be a little closer knit. They may have to have fewer absences and things.

But a President that can't lead with a 250-85 would have his problems with 260 or 270. I am hopeful that most of our legislation that we recommended has been acted upon reasonably bipartisan. I have no reason to think it won't be next year.

13. Time of Surgery

Q. Mr. President, is there anything further you can tell us at this time about when and where your surgery will take place?

A. No. It will take place next week. So you don't have to worry about this week.

Where, the doctors have not decided yet. We have several doctors living in various places that will need to be here. Mr. Burkley is now conferring with them and talking to them, trying to get their schedule on a date that they can agree on, sometime, we hope, in less than the 15- to 20-day period that we originally thought.

Just what day it will be, we don't know. I think you can be comfortable over the weekend here, at least through Sunday. But we don't know whether you will be busy at a hospital in the early part of the week right now or not. Just as soon as we do, we will tell you. We will give you ample notice.

Q. Mr. President, could you tell us something about your physical comfort now? Are you feeling well?

A. Yes, I feel fine. I still have the same problems. This would just be a repeat. If you look at the last transcript, we have a little huskiness in the voice you may be able to observe and a little pulling on the side, the protrusion.

It is actually a pull on the inner wall where the incision was made. It is like you have a little weight on your arm. In carrying it around, it pulls on you, and sometimes when you get up it bothers you.

I have not been wearing a back rest for the last two or three days. It is a little more comfortable. I forgot to put it on. If I had known you were going to be here on this occasion this morning, I could have dressed for it. But it is more comfortable when you don't wear it.

14. New Programs

against the Soviet offensive systems as well. We concluded that it is much too early to make a decision for deployment against the Chinese. The Soviets have not arrived at a decision on any other deployment. We will continue our discussions on this subject in the weeks to come.

The Poseidon Missile

Secondly, we discussed the possible production of the Poseidon missile. You may recall that this is a new missile for deployment at sea, the development of which we initiated as part of the fiscal 1967 budget as an insurance program. We estimated at the time this program was presented last year that it would require almost \$2-billion to develop, and several additional billions to deploy.

We recommended, and I believe will present to the Congress, a proposal to produce and deploy that system. This will require a complete refitting of most of our Polaris submarines at a cost somewhat in excess of 60 per cent of the initial cost of those Polaris subs.

Desirable Construction

We then considered the supplement to the 1967 defense budget. As the President reported to you last Saturday, it will be necessary to have such a supplement. The production of aircraft, helicopters, ground ordnance and air ordnance has exceeded our expectation. The combined team of American management and American labor has actually accomplished miracles in the last several months.

That, plus the necessity to consider financing operations in Southeast Asia beyond June 30, 1967, which was the foundation of the 1967 program, will quite clearly require a supplement to the defense budget. We reviewed certain aspects of that.

Finally, we considered the basic policy which we will follow as a foundation for the defense program in fiscal 1968, and upon the President's instructions will defer every possible element of the defense program that can be deferred without adversely affecting our security. This is in order to soften the transition following the termination of hostilities in Southeast Asia, the transition to our peacetime economy.

We will follow, therefore, the same policy in 1968 that we have to some degree to the present. You will remember that in 1966 we were authorized a military construction program of about \$1.2-billion, and have deferred for future start about \$600-million of that.

This represents construction which is desirable, which we need for defense, but which is not required at the moment. We believe that considering the shortage of construction labor and the shortage of construction materials, we are well advised to defer the start of that construction until such time as our economy can both absorb it and benefit from it. We will follow a similar policy in developing the 1968 program.

I think this will mean that, for example, we will defer building up certain inventories of munitions and other equipment, equipment that we don't need at present, that we won't need next year, but which we will require at some time in the future, production of which we can use as a means of softening the impact of the termination of hostilities in Southeast Asia.

I will be very happy to take your questions on anything I have said today or on any other aspect of the defense program.

QUESTIONS

1. Soviet Missile System

Q. Mr. Secretary, did you say that the Soviets were deploying an antibal-

lithic missile system?

Q. What do you mean by deployment? We have not arrived at a decision on any other deployment. We will continue our discussions on this subject in the weeks to come.

5. Chinese Threat

Q. How long do you think it would be before you could fully deploy it?

A. Any deployment of such a system would take place over several years. One point I would like to stress to you is that the length of time required to deploy such a system is less than the length of time required for the Chinese Communists to develop nuclear weapons that conceivably could threaten this nation. Therefore, it is not timely to at this time make a decision to deploy such a system to defend against the Chinese Communist threat.

Q. Does Red China have a nuclear antiballistic system?

A. No. The Communist Chinese, as you know, are just in the early stages of the development of nuclear weapons and, so far as we know, and I believe we do know, they have not begun to develop an antiballistic missile system.

6. War in Vietnam

Q. Does this affect the war in Vietnam? A. No, not in the slightest degree.

7. Draft Cutbacks

Q. Mr. Secretary, what effect will your announced draft cutbacks have on our manpower situation other than Vietnam? I am thinking in terms of Europe particularly.

A. We will keep our European forces, and be prepared to keep them, at current levels. Early this year we announced that we were temporarily utilizing 15,000 men from our European forces in the training of the expanded Army in this country.

At the beginning of the year, we had something on the order of 225,000 men in our Army forces in Western Europe. I stated we would draw them down by about 15,000 and replace those men by the end of this year. We are well on the way to doing something.

I have forgotten the exact strength in Western Europe today, but I would think it was on the order of 218,000. By the end of this year, it will be 223,000 or 224,000. We will plan to be prepared to maintain it at that throughout the coming year.

I noticed in the press that I was accused of playing politics by announcing the cut in the draft call last Saturday. I think some might have accused me by playing reverse politics because, of course, what it meant was that we had for the month of November announced a rather heavy draft call in September, and it is not until after the election that we will be cutting the draft as much as we are.

It is customary to announce the draft calls to the Selective Service System by a letter from my office to General Hershey, in the first week of the second preceding month, which meant, of course, that we should have sent the letter to General Hershey on last Saturday or earlier for the month of January.

Actually, because General Wheeler and I had not had an opportunity to discuss this subject with the President, because its size depended in part upon decisions we had not yet had an opportunity to make with respect to possible deployments to South Vietnam in 1967, we deferred that January call until Monday in order that we might complete our discussions with the President on Saturday.

We did so, and we transmitted the letter to General Hershey on Monday. It was for a call of 27,000 for the month of January. That compares with

In total, they will add to December and January an amount sufficient to bring the four-month total to about the total for the four months of August, September, October and November, of about 162,000, a very substantial reduction.

8. Soviet Capacity

Q. Mr. Secretary, just one more question, if you please, on the ballistic missile system. A. Surely.

Q. In layman's language, does this mean that the Russians now have the capacity to knock down American ballistic missiles but we do not?

A. Certainly not. There is absolutely no question about our capability of penetrating the Soviet defenses with both our missiles and aircraft. There has been no question about that at any time during the past 15 years and there is no question about it now.

There was not any question about it when they erected the antiaircraft defenses and intended to prevent our bomber aircraft from penetrating. At that time, we had a capability of assuring bomber penetration. There is no question about it now when they are similarly trying to defend against intercontinental ballistic missiles.

9. Manned Bombers

Q. Mr. Secretary, in your summary you did not mention manned bombers and plans for next year's defense budget. What are the plans?

A. No, we did not mention that because we did not discuss that with the President this morning. I have just received within the past two days or three days a report on this subject from the Secretary of the Air Force. We have not had an opportunity yet to analyze it fully in my office and we did not have that on our agenda this morning. This meeting today, which as I say is the third with the President on the subject of the fiscal 1968 program, is but one of several.

I am sure we will request an opportunity to meet on future occasions. Among the subjects we will discuss at that time will, of course, be the possibility of an advanced manned bomber.

If there are no more questions, General Wheeler will comment upon South Vietnam.

WHEELER STATEMENT

As the President mentioned, I discussed with him this morning the progress of the war in South Vietnam. It is interesting to note that it is just about a year ago in a series of battles in the Anbrang Valley near the Cambodian border, General Westmoreland's troops, in this case the First Cavalry Division, defeated, with substantial losses, the North Vietnamese Army, 325th Infantry Division.

Since that time, the North Vietnamese and the Vietcong have made a number of efforts to seize the initiative from General Westmoreland's forces. When I say his forces, I am speaking not only of Americans, but also the Australians, the New Zealanders, the Republic of Korea forces and the Republic of Vietnam forces.

In a series of quite extensive battles, Hastings, which took place near the demilitarized zone starting in April, Operation Prairie, which, again, took place and is taking place today, near the demilitarized zone, the Third Marines have managed to frustrate and defeat an attempt at an invasion from North Vietnam into South Vietnam by the North Vietnamese Army forces.

While we were in Vietnam a couple of weeks ago, we visited operations in the vicinity of Phu Kok, where the First Armored Division, a ROK outfit and

that the war in in a very fav Westmoreland: in every operat aged to defeat mated on the build-up which our visit to Vie logisticians, the types, the qua are perhaps the particular war.

I would recr who would like visit Cameron B. In brief, then, the President's military point o of the war. I: that he, of c during his visit that is the outstanding dedi of the America of all ranks, fr to the G.I.'s, ir war.

I think that mally. I will h any questions.

10

Q. General, reports today, lieve, used no time against c special signific

A. I don't kn have to remenington at a rat ing and when mand center b such report. If represents a co tional sophisti responding to them.

Q. Thank you

Requ

THE PRESI take some que the network p people, if they back there ir meet with all such visits as

11. Ef

Q. Mr. Pres had a chance election return chances of kee legislation goi year?

A. I think for any new 1 pose. I think House with 2 more likely to omenations t 250.

While I do any great diff do have a Sc 67 to 64.

I told Mr. me yesterday thought we lo ate than we l Douglas.

Very frank recommend th anticipated a the Senate, a only one was Douglas.

The Oregon for Senator I seat was a Bass.

We thought we lost three guess when people in 50 s bc. But the

add to December
out sufficient to
total to about
compares with the
months of August,
and November, of
substantial reduc-

Capacity
just one more ques-
on the ballistic
ely.

language, does this
ans now have the
American bal-
do not?

There is absolutely
our capability of
jet defenses with
aircraft. There
about that at any
15 years and there
now.

question about it
the antiaircraft de-
to prevent our
penetrating. At
capability of assur-
tion. There is no
w when they are
end against inter-
missiles.

Bombers

in your summary
manned bombers
t's defense budget.

mention that be-
cess that with the
ing. I have just
past two days or
on this subject
of the Air Force.
opportunity yet to
office and we did
agenda this morn-
ay, which as I say
President on the
1968 program, is

ll request an op-
future occasions.
we will discuss at
urse, be the pos-
manned bomber.
re questions, Gen-
ment upon South

STATEMENT

mentioned, I dis-
morning the pro-
South Vietnam. It
te that it is just
a series of battles
ey near the Cam-
al Westmoreland's
the First Cavalry
with substantial
Vietnamese Army,
n.

North Vietnamese
we made a number
the initiative from
d's forces. When I
speaking not only
to the Australians,
the Republic of
Republic of Viet-

extensive battles,
k place near the
starting in April,
which, again, took
place today, near
zone, the Third
ed to frustrate and
an invasion from
South Vietnam by
Army forces.

Vietnam a couple of
operations in the
where the First
ROK outfit and

I was able to report to the President
that the war in my judgment continues
in a very favorable fashion. General
Westmoreland retains the initiative and
in every operation to date, he has man-
aged to defeat the enemy. I also com-
mented on the very satisfactory logistic
build-up which we checked into during
our visit to Vietnam. I believe that the
logisticians, the engineers, the ordnance
types, the quartermasters, and so on,
are perhaps the unsung heroes of this
particular war.

I would recommend to any of you
who would like to check my words to
visit Cameron Bay, among other places.
In brief, then, I was able to report to
the President my satisfaction from a
military point of view as to the progress
of the war. I also told him something
that he, of course, saw for himself
during his visit to South Vietnam, and
that is the outstanding morale, the out-
standing dedication and determination
of the American forces and their allies
of all ranks, from the commanders down
to the G.I.'s, in pursuing this particular
war.

I think that is all I have to say for-
mally. I will be glad to try to answer
any questions.

10. Use of Gas

Q. General, according to the news
reports today, the Vietcong have, I be-
lieve, used nonlethal gas for the first
time against our troops. Is there any
special significance on that action?

A. I don't know that this is true. You
have to remember that we left Wash-
ington at a rather early hour this morn-
ing and when I checked with the com-
mand center before I left, I received no
such report. If it is true, I would say it
represents a certain sophistication, addi-
tional sophistication, of their efforts in
responding to our offensive against
them.

Q. Thank you.

Request by Johnson

THE PRESIDENT: I will be glad to
take some questions. I would like to ask
the network people or the broadcasting
people, if they want to originate some
back there in the back. Then I will
meet with all of you for extended visits,
such visits as you want.

11. Effect of Elections

Q. Mr. President, now that you have
had a chance to further evaluate the
election returns, how do you see the
chances of keeping your Great Society
legislation going in the Congress next
year?

A. I think it will be more difficult
for any new legislation we might pro-
pose. I think it is very clear that a
House with 295 to 300 Democrats is
more likely to approve Democratic rec-
ommendations than a House with 245 to
250.

While I don't think it would make
any great difference in the Senate, you
do have a Senate that is reduced from
67 to 64.

I told Mr. Christian when he asked
me yesterday about the election that I
thought we lost more seats in the Senate
than we had anticipated.

Very frankly, I don't like to ever
recommend that we lose any, but I had
anticipated a loss of not over one in the
Senate, and we lost three, although
only one was an incumbent, Senator
Douglas.

The Oregon seat was a replacement
for Senator Neuberger. The Tennessee
seat was a replacement for Senator
Bass.

We thought we would lose one, but
we lost three. It is pretty difficult to
guess when they are voting on 435
people in 50 states what the result will
be. But the number of losses in the

what balance it is, as it did in 1956 when
we had an unprecedented majority of
Democrats, and in 1938 it swung back.
Approved For Release 2006/01/30 : CIA-RDP70B00338R000300080036-8
some of our friends lose, I can't think
a President should be too unhappy after
he had had the results that we have
had in 1963, 1964, 1965 and 1966. We
have had a reasonably good time to
make our recommendations and to get
most of them acted upon.

It may be pertinent to observe that
when I became President in 1963 we
had about 256 members of the House.
We will probably have five, six or seven
less now. We had a reasonably good pro-
gram, as you will remember, in 1963
and 1964.

I would expect that we will have our
recommendations favorably acted upon
in most instances, where they are de-
serving.

I would like to point out one other
thing, that on most of the roll-calls
on passage of what you would call
Great Society bills, we had a good
many members of the other party. I
expect, if our recommendations are
meritorious, that they will command
support from some of them in the days
ahead.

12. Backlash Vote

Q. Mr. President, on Sunday you made
an appeal for the voters to repudiate the
white backlash in the election. Could
you tell us to what extent you think
the backlash did play a part in the
voting?

A. That is a little bit difficult to ap-
praise. I don't think I am really in a
position to be an authority on just what
the result of my appeal was, or the ex-
pression of the voters in each of the
states.

I just don't have the answer to it.
I don't know.

I would say that you could look at
the states where you had some problems
of that kind and see the action taken,
without getting specific, I think it did
play some part, but I wouldn't say it
was the only factor at all.

There were a good many factors, if
you will look over the list. I think it is
only fair to say that the substantial
reductions of Democratic Congressmen
occurred in states where they had a
popular leader of the Republican party.

In Ohio, Governor Rhodes had a great
majority and has been a very effective
leader of the Republican party, a very
popular one and very cooperative with
our Administration and with me personally.
He made the motion, you will remember,
on Vietnam at the Governors' Conference,
and things of that kind.

We lost five seats there because he
ran hundreds of thousands ahead of his
opponent.

The same thing was true in California,
where we lost. I believe, three seats
because Governor Reagan had a sub-
stantial majority in his election.

In Michigan, Governor Romney got
almost 60 per cent of the votes.

What happened in the state this time
was what happened in the nation when
the head of the ticket has a command-
ing lead. The other men on the ticket
sometimes benefit from it.

I am not commenting on the quality
of the five Republicans elected, but I
don't think they were hurt by the fact
that Governor Romney had a '59 or 60
per cent vote.

So Reagan, Rhodes and Romney ac-
count for 25 or 30 per cent of the
total.

Causes of Vacancies

In other instances you had vacancies
and you had men dying before their
election. You had men after they had
gotten their nomination not running it
out — things of that kind, one or two.

now? Are you feeling well?

A. Yes, I feel fine. I still have the
same problems. This would just be a
repeat. If you look at the last tran-
script, we have a little huskiness in
the voice you may be able to observe
and a little pulling on the side, the
protrusion.

It is actually a pull on the inner wall
where the incision was made. It is like
you have a little weight on your arm. In
carrying it around, it pulls on you, and
sometimes when you get up it bothers
you.

I have not been wearing a back rest
for the last two or three days. It is a
little more comfortable. I forgot to put
it on. If I had known you were going to
be here on this occasion this morning, I
would have dressed for it. But it is
more comfortable when you don't wear
it.

14. New Programs

Q. In terms of your Great Society pro-
gram, when the 90th Congress meets, do
you think you will have a lot of new
programs or are you looking to the fu-
ture with plans of adding on and ex-
panding the programs that you have had
in the last couple of years?

A. We will have recommendations in
our State of the Union Message that will
expand and enlarge some of the recom-
mendations we have previously made.

Unquestionably, some of them will be
new recommendations. I think my prin-
cipal job right at the moment is to try to
find a way to fund the programs we
have authorized.

As I said to you in Fredericksburg the
other day, we have authorized some 40
new health and education programs. We
have quite a problem in funding that
many.

We will not fund most of the programs
at the amount authorized because we
are very anxious to begin slowly and
carefully, and form the proper kind of
organization before we go the limit, as
already approved by the Congress.

I would think that the recom-
mendations this year will be less than the ones
of last year, as the ones last year were
less than the year before.

But we will have new recom-
mendations. We will be briefing the members
of Congress on them from time to time.

I hope to have a chance to visit with
most of the new members in the early
days of the session, certainly with the
leadership of both parties.

I don't anticipate that we are going
to have any great trouble. A 65 majority in
the House and a 30 majority in the
Senate is a reasonable working majority.

As I told you, in six of the eight years
the Republicans served, they had a minor-
ity in both houses. The Speakers and a
the organization and committee
chairmen.

So while I must be frank, I would have
liked to have seen every Democrat elect-
ed but we only lost one incumbent in the
Senate. I expect the Senate will get
along reasonably well with 64 instead of
67.

I hope the House will be able to. We
lost two committee chairmen. We will
have a freshman Republican succeeding
Judge Smith and a freshman Republican
succeeding Mr. Cooley.

But I believe with 250 members,
Speaker McCormack, Mr. Albert and Mr.
Boggs will be able to get adequate and
fair consideration for the President's rec-
ommendations.

I think they will be duly acted upon.

15. Move Into Delta

Q. Mr. President, this is not a military
question. Would you comment on the
reports that American forces may move
into the Delta? A. No, I don't have any-
thing to speculate on about when, what,
or where our forces might move.

Q. Thank you, Mr. President.